

LOUISIANA BIRD RECORDS COMMITTEE

REPORT FORM

This form is intended as a convenience in reporting observations of species on the Louisiana Bird Records Committee (LBRC) Review List. The LBRC recommends the use of this form or a similar format when submitting records for review to assure that all pertinent information is accounted for. Attach additional pages or files as necessary. Please print or type for hard copy. For electronic copy, be sure to save this file to your computer before entering text. Attach field notes, drawings, photographs, or tape recordings, if available. Include all photos for more obscurely marked species. When completed (if hard copy), mail to Secretary, Louisiana Bird Records Committee, c/o Museum of Natural Science, 119 Foster Hall, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-3216, or e-mail electronic copy as an attachment to Paul Edward Conover at <zoiseaux@lusfiber.net> .

1. English and Scientific names: Bullock's Oriole; *Icterus bullockii*
2. Number of individuals, sexes, ages, general plumage (e.g., 2 in alternate plumage):
2 individuals; 1 adult male, 1 adult female

3. Parish:
Specific Locality: East Baton Rouge Parish

4. Date(s) when observed:
13 November 2020

5. Time(s) of day when observed:
8:30am

6. Reporting observer and city/state address

Reporting observer: Austin Chipps
City: Baton Rouge
State: Louisiana

7. Other observers accompanying reporter who also *identified* the bird(s):
Jennifer Zoutte

8. Other observers who independently identified the bird(s):

9. Light conditions (position of bird in relation to shade and to direction and amount of light):
Sun behind birds; birds partially shaded from sun by leaves

10. Optical equipment (type, power, condition):
Zeiss 10x42 binoculars
Canon 750D camera with 18-300mm

11. Distance to bird(s):
40ft

12. Duration of observation:
15 minutes

13. Habitat:
Apartment complex; large trees

14. Behavior of bird / circumstances of observation (flying, feeding, resting; include and stress habits used in identification; relate events surrounding observation):
Both male and female were actively foraging in the tree

15. Description (include only what was actually seen, *not what "should" have been seen*; include if possible: total length/relative size compared to other familiar species; body bulk, shape, proportions; bill, eye, leg, and plumage characteristics. Stress features that separate it from similar species, *or for species that are known to hybridize frequently, stress features that help eliminate possible hybrids*):
Black back, throat, and crown; white wing patch

16. Voice:
Birds made no vocalizations

17. Similar species (include how they were eliminated by your observation):
Too big for a Baltimore Oriole; Cheek orange whereas cheek of Baltimore Oriole is black; More orange than an Orchard Oriole

18. Photographs or tape recordings obtained? (by whom? attached?):
See below

19. Previous experience with this species:
None

20. Identification aids: (list books, illustrations, other birders, etc. used in identification):

a. at time of observation:
Merlin Bird ID from Cornell Lab of Ornithology

b. after observation:

21. This description is written from:

	notes made during the observation.	Are notes attached?	
	notes made after the observation.	At what date?	
	memory		
	study of images		
		This description written from study of images	

22. Are you positive of your identification? If not, explain:

Yes

23. Date: 6 December 2020

Time: 5:25pm

24. May the LBRC have permission to display in whole or in part this report and accompanying photos on the LOS-LBRC website and LBRC Facebook page?

Yes_____

If yes, may we include your name with the report? _____ Yes__

